

#### -観測で解き明かす中



#### 実験と観測で解き明かす中性子星の核物質 第4回ウィンタースクール

## コンパクト天体連星の合体と重元素合成 I

Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology(MEXT)

関口 雄一郎(東邦大学)

# 何故コンパクト天体(BH,NS)連星?

- ▶ 重力波(GW)地上干渉計の有望な波源
  - The first LIGO event GW150914 : BH-BH merger
    - ~1-100 イベント/年 ~ NS-NS event rate (population synthesis)

#### ▶ 基礎物理学の実験現場として

- ▶ 強重力場における一般相対論の検証
  - ▶ BH-BH : cleanest system
- 極限状態における物質の物理(状態方程式)
  - GWs contain information of M, R, and internal structure of NS
- 高エネルギー天体現象の中心動力源
  - ▶ GRB中心動力源 : BH + accretion disk

#### ▶ 重元素の起源(合体時の質量放出)

- ▶ 超新星爆発におけるR過程元素合成の困難
- 崩壊熱からの電磁放射(重力波の電磁波対応天体)







# Solar abundance of nuclei



<u>Basic feature :</u> exponential decay with mass number + constant tail

#### <u>Characteristic</u> <u>features:</u>

- Peak in iron-group
- Deficient of D, Li, Be, and B
- Enhancement of α nuclei (C, O, Ne, Si,..)
- Peaks in heavier region associated with n-magic numbers,

made by neutron
 capture processes

## Neutron capture processes: free from Coulomb barrier



## r-process (rapid neutron capture process)





# s-process / r-process path



# To be an alchemist : recipe to cook gold



Neutron capture : packing neutrons into 'seed' nuclei n + (Z,N) ⇒ (Z,N+1)

- Large #neutron/#seed ratio is required
- ► A(gold) A (seed) ~ 100

## • (1) Low electron fraction **Ye**

- Ye = number of electrons per baryon ~ # of proton ~ 1 - # of neutron
- To have a large number of free neutrons

#### (2) Higher entropy per baryon

• To slow the seed nuclei production

#### (3) Short expansion timescale

 To freeze seed production with rapid decrease of temperature

## " $n_n \sim 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-3}$ もの中性子発生過程は 星の爆発時以外にはありえないであろう."

林 忠四郎 他 「宇宙物理学」

#### "この過程(r過程のこと)は超新星爆発の最中に起こる."

ポッフ他「素粒子・原子核物理入門」



- > Textbooks tell you that SNe are the origin of heavy elements, but ....
- theoretically disfavored (Roberts et al. 2010, 2012)

#### NS-NS/BH binary merger: (Lattimer & Schramm 1974)

- Observationally disfavored ?? (Argust et al. 2004)
- Too neutron rich ???

Supernova (SN) explosion: (Burbidge et al. 1957)

- Smaller entropy/per baryon than previously expected (e.g., Janka et al. 1997)
  - Previous expectation (s/kB > 200) => recent update s/kB ~ 100-150
- Neutrino heating mechanism of SNe explosion:
- Neutrinos from PNS may make the flow proton-rich v ia  $n+v \rightarrow p+e$  and  $p+\overline{v} \rightarrow n+e^+$ 
  - ▶ Note : neutrons are heavier than proton => tendency of being proton rich.
  - Whether the flow becomes proton rich or not depends on mean neutrino energy
  - Mass difference vs. neutrino energy difference (and luminosities)

 $\Delta \varepsilon = \overline{\varepsilon}_{v} - \varepsilon_{v}$  vs.  $\Delta m = m_{n} - m_{p}$ 

 $\Delta \varepsilon > 4\Delta m$  (neutron rich)  $\Delta \varepsilon < 4\Delta m$  (proton rich)

Higher electron anti-neutrino energy => effectively larger proton mass

# Overall picture of the neutrino heating mechanism



Supernova (SN) explosion: (Burbidge et al. 1957)

- Smaller entropy/per baryon than previously expected (e.g., Janka et al. 1997)
- Neutrinos from PNS make the flow proton-rich via weak interactions
- ► ⇒ only weak r-process (up to 2<sup>nd</sup> peak, no gold (3<sup>rd</sup> peak)!) (Roberts et al. 2010, 2012; Wajajo et al, 2013 etc.)



Supernova (SN) explosion: (Burbidge et al. 1957)

- Smaller entropy/per baryon than previously expected (e.g., Janka et al. 1997)
  - Previous expectation (s/kB > 200) => recent update s/kB ~ 100-150
- Neutrinos from PNS try to make the flow proton-rich via  $n+v \rightarrow p+e$  and  $p+\overline{v} \rightarrow n+e^+$ 
  - Note : neutrons are heavier than proton
  - Whether the flow becomes proton rich or not depends on neutrino energy
- According to the recent studies, only weak r-process occurs (up to 2<sup>nd</sup> peak, no gold (3<sup>rd</sup> peak)!) (*Roberts et al. 2010, 2012*)
  - Electron capture SN : Hoffman et al. 2008; Wanajo et al. 2009
  - (Iron) core collapse SN : Fisher et al. 2010;
     Hudepohl et al. 2010; Wanajo et al. 2011; Roberts et al. 2012

#### Supernova (SN) explosion: (Burbidge et al. 1957)

- Smaller entropy/per baryon than previously expected (e.g., Janka et al. 1997)
- Neutrinos from PNS make the flow proton-rich via  $n+v \rightarrow p+e$
- ► ⇒ only weak r-process (up to 2<sup>nd</sup> peak, no gold (3<sup>rd</sup> peak)!) (Roberts et al. 2010, 2012)
  - Electron capture SN : Hoffman et al. 2008; Wanajo et al. 2009
  - (Iron) core collapse SN : Fisher et al. 2010;
     Hudepohl et al. 2010; Wanajo et al. 2011; Roberts et al. 2012
- Supernova can be the origin of r-process nuclei only if
  - The explosion mechanism is not due to the popular neutrino heating (e.g., magneto-rotational; Winteler et al. 2012)
  - or
  - Our knowledge of neutrino (and nuclear) physics is insufficient

# A key observation to resolve the problem: Universality of the r-process cite



- Abundance pattern comparison :
  - r-rich low metallicity stars
  - Solar neighborhood
- Low metallicity means
- Such stars experience only one/two r-process events
- Such stars preserve the original pattern of the r-process events (chemical fossil)

# A key observation to resolve the problem: Universality of the r-process cite



- Abundance pattern comparison :
- The solar and chemical fossil pattern agree well
- ▶ for Z > 35-40 (A > 85-90)
- Recall that the low metallicity stars record the original pattern
- => these observations strongly suggest that the (main) <u>r-process event</u> <u>synthesize the elements</u> <u>with a pattern similar to</u> <u>solar (Univsersality)</u>



- > Textbooks tell you that SNe are the origin of heavy elements, but ....
- theoretically disfavored (Roberts et al. 2010, 2012)

#### NS-NS(/BH) binary merger: (Lattimer & Schramm 1974)

- Observationally disfavored ?? (Argust et al. 2004)
- Too neutron rich ???



x (km)

Kiuchi et al. PRL (2010); Hotokezaka et al. (2013)

#### **Based on Bartos (2013)**

# **Evolution of NS-NS mergers**



**Based on Bartos (2013)** 

# Multi Messengers and GW counterpart



e.g., Matteucci et al. 2014, MNRAS, 438, 2177; Komiya et al. 2014, ApJ, 783, 132, Tsujimoto & Shigeyama, A&A, 565, L5

What is the melting pot for r-process ?

- NS-NS/BH binary merger: (Lattimer & Schramm 1974)
  - was observationally disfavored ?? (Argast et al. 2004)
    - b delayed appearance of r-process element (long merger time ~ 100Myr)
    - large star-to-star scattering (low event rate (~ 10<sup>-5</sup>/yr/gal) : rock sugar vs. table sugar)



- Observationally NOT disfavored ?? (Tsujimoto and Shigeyama. 2014)
  - No enrichment of Eu in ultra dwarf galaxies but Fe increases
    - ▶ No r-process events (No Eu) but a number of SNe (Fe个)
    - If SNe are the r-process cite, both Eu and Fe should increase
    - Suggest different origin for Fe and Eu



- Observationally NOT disfavored ?? (Tsujimoto and Shigeyama. 2014)
  - No enrichment of Eu in ultra dwarf galaxies but Fe increases
    - ▶ No r-process events (No Eu) but a number of SNe (Fe个)
    - If SNe are the r-process cite, both Eu and Fe should increase
    - Suggest different origin for Fe and Eu
  - Enrichment of Eu in massive dwarfs
    - event rate is estimate as 1/1000 of SNe : consistent with BNS merger
  - Delay time problem due to merger time of ~ 100 Myr:
    - In the dwarf galaxies, chemical enrichment is different from that in the ordinary galaxies due to less deep gravitational potential.
    - ▶ Fe produced in SNe can escape from the dwarf galaxies efficiently
    - => it takes more time for the dwarf galaxies to be Fe rich than in the normal galaxies
    - Studies taken into account this indicate that merger time of 100 Myr is consistent with the observations (Ishimaru et al. 2015; Hirai et al. in prep.)

# Further observational evidence ? Kilo-nova/Macro-nova/r-process-nova

- EM transients possibly powered by radioactivity of the r-process elements were expected (Li & Paczynski 1998) and found (<u>important GW counterpart</u>)
- Recent critical update : Opacities are dominated by lanthanoids : orders of magnitude (~100) larger (Kasen e al. 2013; Tanaka & Hotokezaka 2013)

$$t_{\text{peak}} \sim 10 \text{ days} \left(\frac{v}{0.3c}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{M}{0.01M_{\text{solar}}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\kappa}{10 \text{ cm}^2/g}\right)^{1/2} \qquad 1 \text{ day} \Rightarrow 10 \text{ days}$$

$$L_{\text{peak}} \sim 10^{41} \text{ erg/s} \left(\frac{f}{10^{-6}}\right) \left(\frac{v}{0.3c}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M}{0.01M_{\text{solar}}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\kappa}{10 \text{ cm}^2/g}\right)^{-1/2} \qquad 1/10 \text{ dimmer}$$

$$T_{\text{peak}}^{\text{eff}} \sim 2 \times 10^3 \text{ K} \left(\frac{f}{10^{-6}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{v}{0.3c}\right)^{-1/8} \left(\frac{M}{0.01M_{\text{solar}}}\right)^{-1/8} \left(\frac{\kappa}{10 \text{ cm}^2/g}\right)^{-3/8} \qquad \text{Opt-UV} \Rightarrow \text{NIR}$$

Although it gets difficult to observe, they are still among the promising EM counterparts ⇒ needs more studies to clarify the ejecta properties

# Further observational evidence ? Kilo-nova/Macro-nova/r-process-nova

EM transients possibly powered by radioactivity of the r-process elements were expected (Li & Paczynski 1998) and found (<u>important GW counterpart</u>)

#### A 'kilonova' associated with the short-duration γ-ray burst GRB130603B

N. R. Tanvir<sup>1</sup>, A. J. Levan<sup>2</sup>, A. S. Fruchter<sup>3</sup>, J. Hjorth<sup>4</sup>, R. A. Hounsell<sup>3</sup>, K

LETTER

Short-duration  $\gamma$ -ray bursts are intense flashes of cosmic  $\gamma$ -rays, lasting less than about two seconds, whose origin is unclear<sup>1,2</sup>. The favoured hypothesis is that they are produced by a relativistic jet created by the merger of two compact stellar objects (specifically two neutron stars or a neutron star and a black hole). This is supported by indirect evidence such as the properties of their host galaxies<sup>3</sup>, but unambiguous confirmation of the model is still lacking. Mergers of this kind are also expected to create significant quantities of neutron-rich radioactive species<sup>4,5</sup>, whose decay should result in a faint transient, known as a 'kilonova', in the days following the burst<sup>6-8</sup>. Indeed, it is speculated that this mechanism may be the predominant source of stable r-process elements in the Universe<sup>5,9</sup>.



doi:10.1038/nature12505

## From the 'Universality' point of view : NS-NS merger ejecta: too neutron-rich ?

- Korobkin et al. 2012; Rosswog et al. 2013 (Newtonian sim. with neutrino); see also Goriely et al. 2011 (Approx. GR sim. without weak interactions)
  - Approx. GR simulation: no way to change Ye (ejecta remains n-rich (initial low Ye))
  - Newtonian SPH simulations: tidal mass ejection of 'pure' neutron star matter
  - Ejecta is very n-rich with Ye < 0.1

# Mass ejection from BNS merger (1) : Tidal torque + centrifugal force

- Less massive NS is tidally deformed —
- Angular momentum transfer by spiral arm and swing-by
- A part of matter is ejected along the orbital plane
- reflects low Ye of cold
   <u>NS</u> (β-eq. at T~0),
   no shock heating,
   rapid expansion
   (fast T drop), no time
   to change Ye by weak
   interactions

Density contour [ log (g/cm<sup>3</sup>) ]





t=11.81719 ms





t=11.35916 ms



t=11.63398 ms



t=11.90880 ms



Hotokezaka et al. (2013)





t=11.72559 ms



t=12.00041 ms



### From the 'Universality' point of view : NS-NS merger ejecta: too neutron-rich ?

- Korobkin et al. 2012; Rosswog et al. 2013; see also Goriely et al. 2011
  - tidal mass ejection of 'pure' neutron star matter (very n-rich) with Ye < 0.1</p>
    - Ye is that of T=0,  $\beta$ -equilibrium
  - strong r-process with fission recycling only 2<sup>nd</sup> (A~130; N=82) and 3<sup>rd</sup> (A~195; N=126) peaks are produced (few nuclei in A=90-120)
  - the resulting abundance pattern does not satisfy universality in A=90-120
- Is it impossible to satisfy the universality in BNS merger scenario ?
  - No ! If you take into account both neutrino and GR



# What will change if you include GR and microphysics (1) : Stronger shock in GR

van Riper (1988) ApJ <u>326</u> 235



# Mass ejection from BNS merger (2): Shock driven components

- > Shocks occur due to oscillations of massive NS and collisions of spiral arms
- Isotropic mass ejection, higher temperature (weak interactions set in)



# What will change if you include GR and microphysics (1) : Stronger shock in GR

Newtonian simulation by S. Rosswog et al.

Almost no isotropic component (shock-driven) in Newtonian simulation Only the tidal component

Full GR simulation by Y. Sekiguchi et al.

-1500 -1000 -500 0 500 1000 1500

2000

What will change if you include GR and microphysics (2) : Ye can change via weak interaction



# Importance of Ye in the r-process

#### Electron fraction (Ye) is the key parameter : Ye ~ 0.2 is critical threshold

- Ye < 0.2 : strong r-process  $\Rightarrow$  nuclei with A>130
- Ye > 0.2 : weak r-process  $\Rightarrow$  nuclei with A< 130 (for larger Ye, nuclei with smaller A)
- Different nuclei : different opacity (Smaller opacity for smllaer A? Grossman et al. 2013)





Korobkin et al. 2012

# Short summary of lecture I (1)

- The origin of r-process nuclei : SNe vs. BNS merger
  - Key words
    - Iow Ye required, universality of the pattern
    - Nice lecture by Evan for nucleosynthesis
  - SNe
    - Difficult to preserve n-rich condition necessary for the r-process
    - Extremely difficult to satisfy the universality
  - BNS
    - Recent theoretical and observational studies indicate BNS mergers are a promising candidate
    - Kilonova-like signal : important as EM counterpart to GW
    - How about from the universality point of view

# Short summary of lecture I (2)

BNS merger as the origin of r-process nuclei

- Requirement : should satisfy the 'universality'
  - Both low (< 0.2) and moderate (> 0.2) Ye are necessary
  - Difficulty in previous studies
- How to satisfy the 'universality' ?
  - (1) add new mass ejection mechanism
     may need some fine 'tuning ' to satisfy the 'universality'
  - (2) include both GR and neutrino processes
    - Our strategy
    - GR => stronger shock => higher temperature => neutrino processes can change ejecta Ye



#### -観測で解き明かす中



#### 実験と観測で解き明かす中性子星の核物質 第4回ウィンタースクール

## コンパクト天体連星の合体と重元素合成 I

Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology(MEXT)

関口 雄一郎(東邦大学)

# Previous studies and our study

- **Korobkin et al. 2012 :** Newtonian SPH simulations with neutrinos
- **Bauswein et al. 2013:** Relativistic SPH simulations with many EOS but without neutronos
- This Study : Full GR, approximate gray radiation hydrodynamics simulation with multiple EOS and neutrinos (brief summary of code is in appendix of lecture note)

1.5

- Einstein's equations: Puncture-BSSN/Z4c formalism
- **GR radiation-hydrodynamics** (*neutrino heating can be approximately treated*)
  - Advection terms : Truncated Moment scheme (Shibata et al. 2011)
    - EOS : any tabulated EOS with 3D smooth connection to Timmes EOS
    - gray or multi-energy but advection in energy is not included
    - Fully covariant and relativistic M-1 closure
  - Source terms : two options
    - Implicit treatment : Bruenn's prescription
    - Explicit treatment : trapped/streaming v's
      - e-captures: thermal unblocking/weak magnetism; NSE rate
      - □ Iso-energy scattering : recoil, Coulomb, finite size
      - □ e±annihilation, plasmon decay, bremsstrahlung
      - □ diffusion rate (Rosswog & Liebendoerfer 2004)
      - two (beta- and non-beta) EOS method
  - Lepton conservation equations



Adopted finite-temperature EOS

Multi-EOS study (Thanks to <u>M. Hempel</u>)



# (Expected) Mass ejection mechanism & EOS

- <u>'Stiffer EOS'</u>
  - $\Leftrightarrow \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{NS}} : \mathsf{larger}$
  - TM1, TMA
  - Tidal-driven dominant
  - Ejecta consist of low T & Ye NS matter
- <u>'Intermediate EOS'</u>
  - **DD2**
- <u>'Softer EOS'</u>
  - $\Leftrightarrow \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{NS}} : \mathsf{smaller}$
  - ► SFHo, IUFSU
  - Tidal-driven less dominant
  - Shock-driven dominant
  - Ye can change via weak processes



See also, Bauswein et al. (2013); Just et al. (2014)

Sekiguchi et al PRD (2015)

# Soft(SFHo) vs. Stiff(TM1): Ejecta Ye = 1- Yn

- Soft (SFHo): In the shocked regions, Ye >> 0.2 by weak processes
- Stiff (TM1): Ye is low as < 0.2 (only strong r-process expected)</p>



# Soft(SFHo) vs. Stiff(TM1): Ejecta temperature

- Soft (SFHo): temperature of unbound ejecta is higher (as 1MeV) due to the shock heating, and produce copious positrons
- Stiff (TM1): temperature is much lower



Sekiguchi et al PRD (2015)

# Soft(SFHo) vs. Stiff(TM1): Ejecta Ye = 1- Yn

- Soft (SFHo): In the shocked regions, Ye >> 0.2 by weak processes
- Stiff (TM1): Ye is low as < 0.2 (only strong r-process expected)</p>



# SFHo vs. TM1: ve emissivity



Sekiguchi et al PRD (2015)

## EOS dependence : 1.35-1.35 NS-NS



Mej is larger for softer EOS : importance of shock heating and GR
 Only SFHo achieves Mej ~ 0.01 Msun : required by the total amount of

r-process elements and flux of the 'kilonova' event (GRB 130603B)

Hotokezaka et al. (2013) Tanaka et al. (2014)

# 'Macronova' modeling : NS-NS vs. BH-NS

Requirement based on Li & Paczynski (1998) : Mej > 0.01 Msun



# EOS dependence : 1.35-1.35 NS-NS



Wanajo, Sekiguchi et al. ApJL (2014)

# Achievement of the universality (soft EOS (SFHo), equal mass (1.35-1.35))



- The Ye-distribution histogram has a broad, flat structure (<u>Wanajo, Sekiguchi, et al. (2014)</u>.)
  - Mixture of all Ye gives a good agreement with the solar abundance !
  - Robustness of Universality (dependence on binary parameters)

## Unequal mass NS-NS system: SFHo1.25-1.45

- Orbital plane : Tidal effects play a role, ejecta is neutron rich
- Meridian plane : shock + neutrinos play roles, ejecta less neutron rich



# Dependence on binary parameter for soft EOS (SFHo)





# Direction dependence ?



Sekiguchi et al PRD (2015); Prego et al. (2014); Just et al. (2014); Goriely et al. (2015); Martin et al. (2015)



### r-process nucleosynthesis: nuclear physics inputs



Martinez-Pinedo in INT workshop

# Global mass models vs. Experiments



## Dependence on mass model



## Dependence on mass model



# Short summary of lecture II

BNS merger as the origin of r-process nuclei

- Requirement : should satisfy the 'universality'
  - Due to stronger shock in GR, temperature increases and weak interactions set in => neutron richness modified
  - Wide distribution of ejecta Ye => universality can be satisfied
  - How large are the effects of nuclear inputs uncertainty ?
- To explain total amount of solar r-process elements
  - Mej ~ O(0.01) is necessary
  - Only 'soft' EOS (Rns ~< 12km) like SFHo (APR, SLy) satisfy this requirement
    - □ Interestingly, Mej > 0.01 is also required by the 'kilonova' event
    - □ could be interpreted as a suggestion that NS EOS is relatively soft ?

# Further evidence ? Jet collimation problem in Short GRB

- Jet collimation in SGRBs has been a long-standing problem
  - No matter above the pole region in previous Newtonian simulations



#### Simulation by Rosswog

# Further evidence? Jet collimation problem in Short GRB

Jet collimation in SGRBs has been a long-standing problem

No matter above the pole regio 



# Further evidence ? Jet collimation problem in Short GRB

- Jet collimation in SGRBs has been a long-standing problem
  - No matter above the pole region in previous Newtonian simulations
- Latest NR simulations of NS-NS clarified that there is quasi-isotropic mass ejection driven by shocks (e.g., Hotokezaka et al. 2013; Bauswein et al. 2013)
  - Jet collimation may be achieved



# Further evidence ? Jet collimation problem in Short GRB

- Jet collimation in SGRBs has been a long-standing problem
  - No matter above the pole region in previous Newtonian simulations
- ► Latest NR simulations of NS-NS clarified that there is quasi-isotropic mass ejection driven by shocks ⇒ Jet collimation may be achieved
- How much mass is necessary? Jet simulation with parameters of observed energetics and duration of GRB130603B (Nagakura et al. (2014))





# Short summary of lecture II

BNS merger as the origin of r-process nuclei

- Requirement : should satisfy the 'universality'
  - Due to stronger shock in GR, temperature increases and weak interactions set in => neutron richness modified
  - Wide distribution of ejecta Ye => universality can be satisfied
  - How large are the effects of nuclear inputs uncertainty ?
- To explain total amount of solar r-process elements
  - Mej ~ O(0.01) is necessary
  - Only 'soft' EOS (Rns ~< 12km) like SFHo (APR, SLy) satisfy this requirement
    - □ Interestingly, Mej > 0.01 is also required by the 'kilonova' event
    - □ could be interpreted as a suggestion that NS EOS is relatively soft ?
- Additional support from jet collimation problem in SGRB